MAY-21-2010 08:41 CONLEY & ROSE PC 972 731 2289 P.01

FAX TRANSMITTAL COVER SHEET

CONLEY ROSE, P.C. 5601 Granite Parkway, Suite 750 Plano, Texas 75024-6616

Fax Number: (972) 731-2289 Telephone Number: (972) 731-2288

PLEASE DELIVER THE FOLLOWING PAGES IMMEDIATELY TO:

NAME:

Examiner Shanto Abedin, Group Art Unit 2436

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

FAX:

571 273 3551

FROM:

Elexis A. Jones

DATE:

May 21, 2010

RE:

U.S. Patent Application No. 10/815,518

Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form (1 page) Interview Request and Agenda – Not for Entry (6 pages)

REMARKS:

Total Number of Pages (Including This One): 8
OUR CLIENT/MATTER NO.: 4000-15700

YOUR REFERENCE NO.: Patent Application No. 10/815,518

IF YOU DO NOT RECEIVE ALL THE PAGES, PLEASE CALL 972-731-2288 AS SOON AS POSSIBLE

This facsimile and the information it contains is intended to be a confidential communication only to the person or entity to whom it is addressed. If you have received this facsimile in error, please notify us by telephone at the above telephone number and return the original to this office by mail.

PTOL-413A (12-08) Approved for use through 01/31/2009. OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCI							
Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form							
Application No.: 10/815,518 First Named Applicant: David Fultz							
Examiner: Shanto Abed							
Ditalility :				1744140 011	тррпоштоп		
Tentative Participants:							
(1) Shanto Abedin			(2) Elexis Jones				
(3) Brian Genco (4)							
bune 26, 2010				osed Time: 12:0	0 om EST		
Proposed Date of Interview: June 26, 2010			Prop	osed Time: 12.0	o pin Lo i	AM/PM	
Type of Interview Requested:							
(1) Telephonic (2) Personal (3) Video Conference							
Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated:				✓ N	o		
If yes, provide brief description:							
Issues To Be Discussed							
Issues	Claims/	Prio		Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed	
(Rej., Obj., etc)	Fig. #s	Art					
(1) Rejs.	Inds.	Applied Ar				Ш	
(2)							
				\equiv	\neg	$\overline{}$	
(3)					L		
(4)							
Continuation Sheet Attached							
Brief Description of Argument to be Presented:							
See attached.							
An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on							
NOTE: This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview							
(see MPEP § 713.01).							
This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as							
soon as possible.							
/Elexis A. Jones/					PT		
Applicant/Applicant	s Representative Signat	urc		Examiner/SPE	Signaulre		
Typed/Printed Name o	f Applicant or Represen	tative					
66,274							
Registration Nun	nber, if applicable						

This officient or information is respirately 37 CEP, L133. The information is required to deduce or trans, shortful by the poles, which as 10 feet offs by the SEP to yecoses) in application. An experimentally a series of the SEP to yecoses a projection of the SEP to the SEP

MAY-21-2010 08:41 CONLEY & ROSE PC 972 731 2289 P.03

Patent

Attorney Docket No: IDF 2564 (4000-15700)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

 $\omega \omega \omega \omega \omega \omega \omega \omega$

Š

Group Art Unit: 2436

Examiner: Abedin, Shanto

Confirmation No.: 8230

Applicants: David Fultz, et al.

Serial No.: 10/815,518

Filed: April 1, 2004

For: Application-to-Application

Security in Enterprise Security
Services

Commissioner for Patents

PO Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

INTERVIEW REQUEST AND AGENDA - NOT FOR ENTRY

In response to the Office Action dated March 11, 2010, Applicants respectfully request the following issues be considered for discussion in a telephone interview on June 26, 2010 at 12:00 pm EST. Applicants respectfully submit that these issues are not to be entered as a response to the Office Action dated March 11, 2010. Furthermore, Applicants respectfully submit that such issues are non-binding and do not create any estoppel until such time as agreement is reached with the Examiner regarding same and formal amendments and/or remarks are presented and entered.

1

Listing of the Claims:

- 1. (Currently Amended) A system to provide application-to-application enterprise security for different applications on different platforms where there is no continuing context or session and a new context is created with new invocations from one of the applications to another, the system comprising:
 - a first computer comprising a security application program interface and an application program interface coupled to a client application on a first platform, the security application program interface operable to provide a security credential;
 - an authentication authority receiving the security credential from the security application program interface, the authentication authority further generates a token and communicates the token to the security application program interface where the security credential is valid, wherein the token contains user credentials encoded as a platform and application independent string data type;
 - a store maintaining data validating the security credential, the store in communication with the authentication authority to validate the security credential.
 - the application program interface communicating regarding the validity of the token; and
 - a second computer comprising a distinct server application on a second platform
 to receive the token from the application program interface, the server
 application communicating with the authentication authority to validate the

MAY-21-2010 08:42 CONLEY & ROSE PC 972 731 2289 P. 05

Attorney Docket No: IDF 2564 (4000-15700)

token to enable the client application to use services of the server

Patent

application, wherein there is no continuing context or session and a new context is created with [[an]] <u>every</u> invocation of the distinct server application by the client application.

MAY-21-2010 08:42 CONLEY & ROSE PC 972 731 2289 P.06

Attorney Docket No: IDF 2564 (4000-15700)

Patent

9. (Currently Amended) A method for providing application-to-application enterprise security for different applications on different platforms where there is no continuing context or session and a new context is created with new invocations from one of the applications to another, the method comprising:

coupling a security application program interface and an application program interface to a client application on a first platform:

communicating a security credential from the security application program interface to an authentication authority;

communicating information related to the security credential between the authentication authority and a data store to determine whether the security credential is valid;

generating a token by the authentication authority when the security credential is valid, wherein the token contains user credentials encoded as a platform and application independent string data type;

communicating the token to the client application:

providing, by the application program interface coupled to the client application on the first platform, the token to a distinct server application, the distinct server application on a second platform, wherein there is no continuing context or session and a new context is created with [[an]] each of a plurality of invocations of the distinct server application by the client application; and

validating, by the server application, the token before providing access to services of the distinct server application by the client application.

Summary of Rejections

Claims 1-28 and 30-33 were pending at the time of the Office Action.

Claim 13 was objected.

Claims 1-8 and 9-27 were rejected under 35 USC § 103.

With regard to the art rejections, the Office Action has cited Silhavy et al., U.S. Pub. No. 2005/0108521 A1 ("Silhavy"), Reeves, Jr., U.S. Patent No. 7,178,163 B2 ("Reeves"), and Bhatia et al., U.S. Patent No. 7,249,375 B2 ("Bhatia").

Interview Agenda

Claims 1:

- Silhavy and Reeves do not teach or suggest that there is no continuing context or session and a new context is created with every invocation of the distinct server application by the client application.
 - o Silhavy does not disclose creating a new context with every invocation of the database by the client application. Rather, Silhavy merely creates a single continuing context for communication between the client application and the database.
 - See paragraphs 0007 and 0031 of Silhavy.

Claim 9:

- The applied art does not teach or suggest that there is no continuing context or session and a new context is created with each of a plurality of invocations of the distinct server application by the client application.
 - See argument above in regard to claim 1.

MAY-21-2010 08:42 CONLEY & ROSE PC 972 731 2289 P.08

Attorney Docket No: IDF 2564 (4000-15700)

Patent

Conclusion

If the Examiner has any questions or comments or otherwise feels it would be helpful in expediting the application, he is encouraged to telephone the undersigned at (972) 731-2295.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: May 21, 2010 /Elexis A. Jones/

Elexis A. Jones Reg. No. 66,274 for Michael W. Piper Reg. No. 39,800

CONLEY ROSE, P.C. 5601 Granite Parkway, Suite 750 Plano, Texas 75024 (972) 731-2288 (972) 731-2289 (facsimile)

ATTORNEY FOR APPLICANT